Chapter 3.1
Asset Mapping to Consider
Outcome Measurement
and Stakeholder
Engagement

Mélissa Généreux Shannon Tracey Tracey O'Sullivan

Learning objectives

To understand key factors to consider when using asset mapping to support research into Health EDRM, including:

- The tradition of community health outcome measurement in disaster research.
- The concept of asset literacy and how it can be leveraged as an outcome of asset mapping to support disaster risk reduction.
- The value of engaging key stakeholders from the outset in order to develop a common vision of health deficits and assets and identify solutions to maximize community resilience.
- The use of an asset lens in outcome measurement studies in pre- and post-disaster contexts.

Introduction

- Disaster research uses a deficit-based approach involving methods to develop risk and vulnerability profiles, map hazards, and assess adverse outcomes following events
- Measurement of outcomes and associated predictors should be based on wider considerations, including protective factors and positive consequences arising from disasters.
- Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) has more balanced approach to understanding resilience, which focuses not only on risk and deficits, but also on physical and social assets within a community that can support resilience.

Case study: Psychosocial Impacts of the Lac-Mégantic Train Explosion (1)

In 2013, a train accident in Lac-Mégantic, Quebec had major human, environmental, and economic impacts:

- 47 deaths.
- 44 homes and businesses destroyed.
- 2000 citizens evacuated.

Estrie Public Health Department and the University of Quebec in Chicoutimi conducted cross-sectional health surveys in 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2018, to study samples of adults living in and around Lac-Mégantic, gathering data on a variety of physical and mental health outcomes.



Case study: Psychosocial Impacts of the Lac-Mégantic Train Explosion (2)

- 1 in 6 adults were considered to be intensely exposed to the disaster.
- Adverse psychosocial outcomes were associated with intensity of exposure.
- Persistent and widespread health needs, such as PTSD, anxiety and increased volume of people seeking mental health services were identified.
- When studying asset-based outcomes, the researchers found that intensely exposed adults were less likely to report optimal mental health in 2015 (as opposed to less exposed adults).



Case study: Psychosocial Impacts of the Lac-Mégantic Train Explosion (3)

- The Public Health Department hosted a collective reflection day, which brought together local stakeholders who constructed a historical timeline together that traced key milestones in the recovery of their community and the progress made.
- By highlighting the interventions and initiatives that were implemented, they were able to identify the individual and community-level benefits, and the actions that created positive effects.



Case study: Psychosocial Impacts of the Lac-Mégantic Train Explosion (4)

- A PhotoVoice project allowed local citizens to explain what made their community attractive and to map assets that support resilience within their community.
- They hosted 2 exhibitions to share their ideas with the public, politicians, and decision-makers.
- This initiative empowered citizens of Lac-Mégantic by
- Fostering community engagement.
- 2. Allowing them to identify their assets and needs.
- 3. Emphasizing the importance of social capital to activate individual and community resilience in post-disaster contexts.



Outcome Measurement (1)



Outcome measurement is used to assess prevention and preparedness programmes, response and recovery activities, and community health impacts in the months and years after a disaster.

This is important for understanding the impact on a population over time and developing services to meet the changing needs.

Outcome Measurement (2)

It is important to consider asset-based outcomes in addition to deficit-based outcomes.

Salutogenesis [Saluto (health) | Genesis (origin of)] is a model for public health by Morgan and Ziglio that is the foundation for asset-based health promotion. It is different from the traditional deficit-oriented approach, which focuses on what produces disease and psychosocial problems rather than health and well-being.



Positive health concepts include self-efficacy, resilience, social support/participation, and civic engagement.

Outcome Measurement (3)

Physical Health (acute consequences)

- Disasters can lead to primary health problems (wounds) and secondary health problems (infections or accidents).
- Somatic symptoms are also common in victims of disaster (sleep disorders, headaches and fatigue).

Mental Health

- PTSD is the most common mental health outcome studied in a postdisaster context.
- Other psychosocial outcomes include psychological distress, depression, anxiety, phobia and grief.
- Positive outcomes include a sense of belonging to the community, a sense of coherence, positive mental health and post-traumatic growth.

Outcome Measurement (4)



Surveys can be clinical or community based, cross-sectional or longitudinal.

- Both exposed and unexposed individuals should be monitored.
- Both negative and positive consequences should be considered.

Asset Mapping (1)

- A strengths-based approach that focuses on identifying resources that promote health and resilience in a community or organization, in contrast to the traditional deficit-oriented mapping which has a pathogenic orientation to identify what makes people ill.
- Recently, asset mapping has been recognized as a strategy for DRR as well as for use in recovery.
- It is important to engage communities in identifying both physical resources that can support resilience and social assets across multiple ecological levels.



Asset Mapping (2)

- A list of asset indicators that can be used for asset mapping was developed by Tracey and colleagues using data from essential service organizations.
- Emergent themes related to organizational resilience were identified and used to develop asset-oriented indicators to measure adaptive capacity within organizations to support disaster resilience.
- For Health EDRM research, a diverse set of assets should be considered in pre- and post-disaster contexts, although it can be a challenge to define and categorize them.

Asset Mapping (3)

Asset Category	Description
Social	Assets that involve people, community networks, social programs, and are related to the social environment.
Personal characteristics	Assets within a person that can be mobilized to support resilience.
Energy	Assets that can be converted into other assets to support prevention/mitigation, preparedness, and response and recovery.
Physical	Assets that are tangible and support the needs and operational functioning of different systems in the community.

Asset Literacy

Asset literacy requires:

- Awareness: helps people and organizations understand the potential value and contribution of different types of assets to support resilience.
- Empowerment: helps citizens understand how to mobilize different assets in their communities and how to get involved to contribute their own assets to support their communities.
- Social Participation: necessary to make asset literacy actionable.
- Innovation and Engagement: supported when people have selfefficacy and motivation to act on their knowledge of assets.

Stakeholder Engagement (1)

The purpose of **stakeholder engagement** is to:

- Enhance the relevance of research to policy and practice.
- Increase the transparency of the process.
- Reduce the time between knowledge generation and adoption into practice.

Contributions of stakeholders can include:

- a) Providing input on study design.
- b) Participating as research participants.
- c) Supporting data collection.
- d) Attending town halls and meetings to provide feedback

Stakeholder Engagement (2)

The 7P Framework was developed to identify relevant stakeholders:

- Patients and the public
- 2. Providers
- 3. Purchasers
- 4. Payers
- 5. Policymakers
- 6. Product makers
- 7. Principal investigators

Applying an Asset Lens to Outcome Measurement (1)

An **asset lens** can be applied to assess the strengths and capabilities of a community (before, during, after a disaster).

A socioecological model can help to differentiate the levels that the assets reside in:

- 1. Individual
- 2. Organizational
- 3. Community/Society

Local knowledge is as important as scientific knowledge and should be considered accordingly.

Applying an Asset Lens to Outcome Measurement (2)

The experiences of communities before, during or after a disaster provide in-depth and comprehensive information about an event. They should be used as case studies when studying disasters and should include:

- a) Needs and assets in the local community.
- b) How and by whom these needs and assets should be addressed.
- c) Barriers and success factors for sustaining resilience and recovery.



Conclusions

- Health EDRM research should complement the traditional deficit-based approach of focusing on risks, hazards, and vulnerability, with an asset-oriented lens.
- Asset mapping involves fostering community engagement and is the essence of an all-of-society approach to disaster health research.
- However, it requires support from leaders and meaningful opportunities for participation by all.

Key messages (1)

- A balanced paradigm which recognizes both assets and risks is needed to support better outcome measurement in disaster research.
- Stakeholder engagement must be part of asset mapping to ensure broad community perspectives and that local context is included in assessment and measurement.

Key messages (2)

- Asset mapping can inform outcome measurement, but it is important that indicators reflect a balanced paradigm by including appropriate measures that consider assets in a community.
- Asset literacy is both a process and an outcome measure, which emphasizes local knowledge and intervention strategies that support community participation.

Further reading

McKnight J. A Basic Guide to ABCD Community Organizing. Illinois: Northwestern University. 2003.

This report provides information about asset mapping, which can help decision-makers identify resources that promote health and resilience in a community or organization.

References

This chapter: Généreux M, Tracey S, O'Sullivan T. Asset Mapping to Consider Outcome Measurement and Stakeholder Engagement.

Monitoring adverse psychosocial outcomes one and two years after the Lac-Mégantic train derailment tragedy (Eastern Townships, Quebec, Canada). *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine*. 2019;24(3): 251–9.

The public health response during and after the Lac-Mégantic train derailment tragedy: A case study. Disaster Health. 2015: 2(3-4): 113-20.

Revitalising the evidence base for public health: An assets model. *Promotion and Education*. 2007;14(2 Suppl): 17-22.

A new taxonomy for stakeholder engagement in patient centered outcomes research. *Journal of General Internal Medicine.* 2012;27(8): 985-91.

Contact information

Mélissa Généreux

melissa.genereux.med@ssss.gouv.qc.ca

Health EDRM Research Network Secretariat WHO Centre for Health Development (WHO Kobe Centre)

Email: wkc_tprn@who.int

